

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 ARTURO E. ACOSTA,)
13 Plaintiff,) 2:11-cv-01125-JCM-CWH
14 vs.)
15 LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL)
16 CENTER, *et al.*,)
17 Defendants.)
/

18 This closed action was a *pro se* complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, by a Nevada
19 state prisoner. By order filed November 4, 2011, this Court dismissed the action with prejudice, in
20 its entirety. (ECF No. 8). Judgment was entered the same date. (ECF No. 9). Plaintiff filed a notice
21 of appeal. (ECF No. 11). By order filed March 12, 2012, the United States Court of Appeals for the
22 Ninth Circuit dismissed the appeal for lack of jurisdiction, and denied all pending motions. (ECF
23 No. 17).

21 On February 22, 2012, plaintiff filed a motion for copies of all exhibits and a motion for
22 transcripts at government expense. (ECF Nos. 14 & 15). Because plaintiff's case has concluded in
23 this court and in the court of appeals, the motions for copies and transcripts are denied as moot.
24
25

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for copies (ECF No. 14) and
2 motion for transcripts (ECF No. 15) are **DENIED AS MOOT**.

Dated this 15th day of March, 2012.

James C. Mahan
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE